UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,112	05/16/2005	Jeffrey Allen Cooper	PU020467	3624
<sup>24498</sup> Thomson Licen	7590 07/28/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		PE, GEEPY		
Two Independence Way PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/535,112	COOPER, JEFFREY ALLEN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Geepy Pe	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 € 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 5-15 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.			
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the E</li> </ul>	accepted or b) objected to lead and objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/16/05,6/28/06</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/535,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of **Group II** (claims 5-14; with newly added claim
   in the reply filed on 6/22/09 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/22/09.

# Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims **5-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krunz et al. ("Impact of video scheduling on bandwidth allocation for multiplexed MPEG streams"; already of record; hereinafter Krunz).

Art Unit: 2621

Re. **claim 5**, Krunz teaches that in a video transmission system in which video segments are encoded into a plurality of frame types, a method for arranging frame transmission alignment among a plurality of channels concurrently transmitted via a common transmission medium, comprising: identifying a specified frame type in each of said plurality of channels (Krunz: pg. 348, right col., lines 12-13); and causing ones of said specified frame type to be arranged so as to avoid temporal alignment with other ones of said specified frame type in corresponding other ones of said plurality of channels (Krunz: pg. 350, left col., section 4.1, lines 3-5).

Re. **claim 6**, Krunz teaches that said ones of said specified frame type and other ones of said specified frame type are temporally displaced, relative to one another (Krunz: pg. 350, left col., section 4.1, lines 3-5).

Re. **claim 7**, Krunz teaches that said specified frame type in successive ones of said plurality of channels are displaced by one frame position relative to a location of said frame type in a preceding channel (Krunz: pg. 350, left col., section 4.1, lines 3-5).

Re. **claim 8**, Krunz teaches that said video segments include a fixed number of frame positions and said fixed number of frame positions is an integer multiple of the number of said plurality of channels (Krunz: pg. 350, left col., section 4.1, lines 3-5; pg. 348, right col., section 2, lines 41-43).

Re. **claim 9**, Krunz teaches that each of said plurality of channels is synchronized to a common frame rate and phase (Krunz: pg. 350, left col., section 4.2, lines 11-12 & 15-19).

Re. **claim 10**, Krunz teaches that said plurality of frame types include a high priority frame type and a low priority frame type (Krunz: pg. 348, right col., section 2, lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2621

Re. **claim 11**, Krunz teaches that said plurality of frame types include at least one intermediate priority frame type (Krunz: pg. 348, right col., section 2, lines 3-5).

Re. **claim 12**, Krunz teaches that said video segments are encoded using an MPEG coding methodology and further wherein said high, intermediate and low priority frame types correspond respectively to MPEG Intra-coded, Predictive, and Bi-directionally Predictive frames (Krunz: pg. 348, right col., section 2, lines 3-5).

Re. **claim 13**, Krunz teaches that ones of said low priority frame type are optionally dropped to reduce required transmission bandwidth (Krunz: pg. 347, right col., lines 9-13 & 21-34: i.e., bit-rate allocation would cause a dropping of frames, including low priority frames).

Re. **claim 14**, Krunz teaches that said video segments are encoded using an MPEG coding methodology and correspond to an MPEG Group of Pictures (Krunz: pg. 348, right col., section 2, lines 3-5 & 11-13).

Re. **claim 15**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 1 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geepy Pe whose telephone number is (571)-270-3703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00AM - 3:30PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,112 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. P./ /Geepy Pe/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 July 26, 2009